

Soil Nail Wall Proposed Design Specifications

Issues to be Considered

Tony Allen, State Geotechnical Engineer

June 13, 2017

Key Issues

- Application of limit equilibrium design to strength Limit State soil nail wall design for internal, compound, and overall (global) stability
 - Currently, overall stability is in Service Limit State – should it be moved to Strength Limit State and treat slope stability FS as the load factor?
 - How to treat the nail resistance in the limit equilibrium program?
 - Consistency with articles for other wall types regarding overall and compound stability
- Should foundation loads on wall be factored or is slope stability load factor (see above) sufficient?
- Facing structural design may need more development – e.g., need better approach to estimate the nail load at the face, T_0 – only limited empirical equation is provided
- Seismic design, corrosion design, and soil nail testing needs further development

Soil Nail Wall Strength Limit State Design - Concepts

- Limit equilibrium (slope stability) internal, compound, and overall stability analyses for wall
 - Load factor (i.e., slope FS = 1.3 to 1.5) with resistance factor of 1.0 addresses soil failure
 - Limit equilibrium analysis provides nail loads for rest of LRFD design analyses (T_{max} , but T_0 uncertain)
 - Typical soil nail wall programs adjust critical failure surfaces using the controlling limit state (e.g., nail bar rupture, nail pullout, facing resistance, etc.) to limit T_{max} in nails
- LRFD design check done using conventional limit state equations for each reinforcement layer and specified load and resistance factors

Soil Nail Wall Internal and External stability Design

- Slope stability limit equilibrium methods typically used
 - Currently, slope stability analysis is in Service
 - Need to move slope stability analysis to Strength Limit (do as separate agenda item)
 - Soil nail wall design programs consider all possible failure modes at once (purely internal, compound, and overall stability)
 - Since these failure modes are a collapse scenario, belongs in strength limit
 - FS from geotechnical slope stability analysis must be treated as load factor
 - Resistance factors for this limit state tied to nail and pullout resistance
 - Slope stability load factor considers footing load uncertainty if footing load present (i.e., γ_{EV} increases from 1.3 to 1.5), so no need to factor footing load
 - Are there other loads in load group that are usually factored, and how should those be handled?
- This will also affect MSE walls regarding overall and compound stability calculations (do as separate agenda item)

Soil Nail Wall Internal and External stability Design

- Soil nail tensile and pullout resistance must be treated as a negative driving force

$$FS = \frac{\text{Resisting Forces}}{\text{Driving Forces}} = \frac{\sum_1^n (W \cos \alpha) \tan \phi}{\sum_1^n (W \sin \alpha) - T(\cos \alpha)} \quad \frac{\sum_1^n (W \cos \alpha) \tan \phi}{FS} \geq \sum_1^n (W \sin \alpha) - T(\cos \alpha)$$

Doing so prevents double factoring, as “T” from this analysis is not reduced by FS

- This approach conflicts with what is provided in Article 11.10.4.3 for MSE walls, in that the tensile and pullout resistance are described as restoring forces – could cause confusion

Soil Nail Wall Internal and External stability Design

- Conducting LRFD checks
 - Check is done at each reinforcement “layer”
 - Uses T_{\max} obtained from slope stability limit equilibrium analysis
 - If wall supports structure footing, T_{\max} will contain the additional load needed to support the structure
- Load and resistance factors for layer specific LRFD checks
 - For load factor, apply γ_{EV} to T_{\max} from slope stability analysis
 - If T_{\max} includes structure footing load, should increase from normal 1.35 value to 1.5 to be consistent with MSE wall design and load factors normally used for footing loads

Nail Pullout Design

- Slope stability analysis provides unfactored soil nail loads at each layer considering what controls the design (nail tensile strength, nail pullout resistance, and possibly facing resistance)
- What value of L_p to use for each layer?
 - Defined as length of nail behind critical failure surface
 - If assess what is required for nail layers for intermediate construction stages, the critical failure surface for each construction stage will change, resulting in different L_p values for each nail layer
 - If focus on final wall state to do LRFD check, there will only be one critical failure surface to use to get L_p

Soil Nail Wall Facing Design

- How to calculate nail load at face, T_0 ?
 - Could assume that $T_0 = T_{\max}$
 - For simpler cases, could use empirical equation in commentary
- Similar to rest of wall, should higher load factor ($\gamma_p = \gamma_{EV} = 1.35$ to 1.5 ?) be used if wall supports a structure footing?

Other Remaining Issues/Tasks

- All of these need further development
 - Seismic design
 - corrosion design
 - Soil nail testing
- Soil nail wall construction specifications
 - Draft specifications have been developed
 - Needs committee review

T15 Next Steps

- Consider moving overall stability to Strength Limit State
- Update MSE wall articles regarding overall and compound stability to be consistent with Soil Nail articles
- Decide on whether or not to increase γ_{EV} from 1.35 to 1.5 if wall supports a structure foundation
- Decide on how T_0 (i.e., nail load at face) should be determined
- Discuss and finalize draft at T15 mid-year meeting (October?)
- Submit as Subcommittee agenda item for Subcommittee consideration in 2018

Comments and Questions